

# Wavelet transforms and frames

## 1. The continuous wavelet transform

In this section we study a version of the so-called continuous wavelet transform applied to the ridge functions appearing in a neural network with one hidden layer.

If now  $\psi$  is a given function, then we define

$$\psi_{\mathbf{u},a,b}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \psi\left(\frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x} - b}{a}\right), \quad |\mathbf{u}| = 1, \quad a > 0, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have the following result.

**Theorem 18.** *Let  $d \geq 1$  and let  $\psi$  and  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  be such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\hat{\psi}(\omega)| |\hat{\varphi}(\omega)|}{|\omega|^d} d\omega < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad K_{\psi,\varphi} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\overline{\hat{\psi}(\omega)} \hat{\varphi}(\omega)}{|\omega|^d} d\omega \neq 0.$$

*If  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is such that  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{K_{\psi,\varphi}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle f, \psi_{\mathbf{u},a,b} \rangle \varphi_{\mathbf{u},a,b}(\mathbf{x}) db da d\mathbf{u}.$$

*where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

Observe that if  $\psi$  and  $\varphi$  are real-valued functions, then  $K_{\psi,\varphi}$  is real-valued as well. From the proof we see that we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle f, \psi_{\mathbf{u},a,b} \rangle \varphi_{\mathbf{u},a,b}(\mathbf{x}) db \right| da d\mathbf{u} < \infty,$$

and that the integral  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle f, \psi_{\mathbf{u},a,b} \rangle \varphi_{\mathbf{u},a,b}(\mathbf{x}) \, db$  is the convolution of  $L^1$ -functions, and hence well-defined. If  $\psi = \varphi$ , then it is not difficult to show that the triple integral converges absolutely as well.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be such that  $|\mathbf{u}| = 1$ . We define the Radon-transform  $P_{\mathbf{u}}f$  as follows:

$$(P_{\mathbf{u}}f)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} f(t\mathbf{u} + U^{\perp}\mathbf{s}) \, ds,$$

where  $U^{\perp}$  is a  $d \times (d-1)$  matrix with columns that form an orthonormal basis for the subspace of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  orthogonal to  $\mathbf{u}$ . It is not difficult to show that  $P_{\mathbf{u}}f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and that

$$(54) \quad \widehat{P_{\mathbf{u}}f}(\underline{\omega}) = \hat{f}(\underline{\omega}\mathbf{u}).$$

Furthermore, we let, abusing our notation somewhat,

$$\psi_a(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}\psi\left(\frac{t}{a}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}_a(t) = \overline{\psi_a(-t)}, \quad a > 0 \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We observe that

$$(55) \quad \langle f, \psi_{\mathbf{u},a,b} \rangle = (\tilde{\psi}_a * P_{\mathbf{u}}f)(b).$$

We let

$$\phi(\underline{\omega}) = \overline{\hat{\psi}(\underline{\omega})}\hat{\varphi}(\underline{\omega}) + \overline{\hat{\psi}(-\underline{\omega})}\hat{\varphi}(-\underline{\omega}),$$

and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(a\omega) \frac{1}{a^d} \, da &= \omega^{d-1} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(a) \frac{1}{a^d} \, da \\ &= \omega^{d-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\overline{\hat{\psi}(\eta)}\hat{\varphi}(\eta)}{|\eta|^d} \, d\eta = \omega^{d-1} K_{\psi,\varphi}, \quad \omega > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The same calculation shows, of course, that there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$(56) \quad \int_0^{\infty} |\phi(a\omega)| \frac{1}{a^d} \, da \leq C\omega^{d-1}, \quad \omega > 0.$$

If we now let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be arbitrary and define

$$(57) \quad g(\mathbf{x}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{i2\pi\omega\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \phi(a\omega) \hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u}) \frac{1}{a^d} \, da \, d\omega \, d\mathbf{u},$$

then it follows from (56) and our assumptions on  $f$  that this integral converges absolutely, and we have in fact

$$(58) \quad \begin{aligned} g(\mathbf{x}) &= K_{\psi,\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{i2\pi\omega\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u}) \omega^{d-1} \, d\omega \, d\mathbf{u} \\ &= K_{\psi,\varphi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i2\pi\mathbf{y}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \hat{f}(\mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{y} = K_{\psi,\varphi} f(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini's theorem and the fact that  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is invariant under the mapping  $\mathbf{u} \mapsto -\mathbf{u}$  we get

$$(59) \quad g(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left( e^{i2\pi\omega\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \overline{\hat{\psi}(a\omega)} \hat{\varphi}(a\omega) \hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u}) \right. \\ \left. + e^{-i2\pi\omega\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \overline{\hat{\psi}(-a\omega)} \hat{\varphi}(-a\omega) \hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u}) \right) \frac{1}{a^d} d\omega da d\mathbf{u} \\ = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i2\pi\omega\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \overline{\hat{\psi}(a\omega)} \hat{\varphi}(a\omega) \hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u}) \frac{1}{a^d} d\omega da d\mathbf{u}.$$

Next we note from (54) that the Fourier transform of the function  $\tilde{\psi}_a * P_{\mathbf{u}} * \varphi_a$  is  $a\hat{\psi}(a\omega)\hat{\varphi}(a\omega)\hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u})$ , and therefore we get by the Fourier inversion formula

$$(60) \quad g(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty (\tilde{\psi}_a * P_{\mathbf{u}} f * \varphi_a)(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \frac{1}{a^{d+1}} da d\mathbf{u}.$$

(By the results above we know that  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_0^\infty |(\tilde{\psi}_a * P_{\mathbf{u}} f * \varphi_a)(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x})| \frac{1}{a^{d+1}} da d\mathbf{u} < \infty$ .) Now by (55)

$$(\tilde{\psi}_a * P_{\mathbf{u}} f * \varphi_a)(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\tilde{\psi}_a * P_{\mathbf{u}} f)(b) \varphi_a(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x} - b) db \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle f, \psi_{\mathbf{u}, a, b} \rangle \varphi_{\mathbf{u}, a, b}(\mathbf{x}) db.$$

When this result is combined with (58) and (60) we get the claim of the theorem.  $\square$

## 2. Riesz bases and frames

Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Then a sequence  $(e_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset H$  is an orthonormal basis of  $H$  if for all  $n, m \geq 1$  we have  $\langle e_n, e_m \rangle = 0$  if  $n \neq m$  and  $\|e_n\| = 1$ , and the span of the sequence is dense in  $H$ .

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and let  $(e_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset H$ . Then the following properties are equivalent.*

1.  $(e_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is an orthonormal basis of  $H$ .
2.  $\overline{\text{span}\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty} = H$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^k |c_n|^2 = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k c_n e_n \right\|^2,$$

for all numbers  $c_1, \dots, c_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .

3.  $\|e_n\| = 1$ ,  $n \geq 1$  and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, e_n \rangle|^2 = \|f\|^2, \quad f \in H.$$

Next we consider so called Riesz bases, but note that there are many other ways of characterizing such bases than the ones given below.

**Theorem 20.** *Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset H$ . Then the following properties are equivalent (and if they hold, the sequence is said to be a Riesz basis):*

- (i) *There is an orthonormal basis  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of  $H$  and a bounded linear operator  $T : H \rightarrow H$  with bounded inverse such that  $f_n = Te_n$  for each  $n \geq 1$ .*
- (ii)  $\overline{\text{span}\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}} = H$  and there are positive constants  $a$  and  $b$  such that and

$$a \sum_{n=1}^k |c_n|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k c_n e_n \right\|^2 \leq b \sum_{n=1}^k |c_n|^2,$$

for all numbers  $c_1, \dots, c_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ .

- (iii)  $\overline{\text{span}\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}} = H$  and there are positive constants  $a$  and  $B$  such that

$$a \sum_{n=1}^k |c_n|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k c_n e_n \right\|^2,$$

for all numbers  $c_1, \dots, c_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2, \quad f \in H.$$

- (iv)  $\overline{\text{span}\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}} = H$  and there is a sequence  $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $\overline{\text{span}\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}} = H$  and for all  $m, n \geq 1$  we have  $\langle f_n, g_m \rangle = 0$  if  $n \neq m$  and  $\langle f_n, g_n \rangle = 1$ , and there is a constant  $B$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 &\leq B \|f\|^2, \\ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, g_n \rangle|^2 &\leq B \|f\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad f \in H.$$

- (v) *There is a sequence  $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that for all  $m, n \geq 1$  we have  $\langle f_n, g_m \rangle = 0$  if  $n \neq m$  and  $\langle f_n, g_n \rangle = 1$ , and there are constants*

$$0 < A \leq B < \infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} A\|f\|^2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2, \\ A\|f\|^2 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, g_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad f \in H.$$

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Since  $f_n = Te_n$  for all  $n$  we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^k c_n f_n = T \left( \sum_{n=1}^k c_n e_n \right) \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^k c_n f_n = \left( \sum_{n=1}^k c_n e_n \right)$$

so that

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^k c_n f_n \right\|^2 \leq \|T\|^2 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k c_n e_n \right\|^2 = \|T\|^2 \sum_{k=1}^n |c_n|^2,$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^k c_n f_n \right\|^2 \geq \|T^{-1}\|^{-2} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k c_n e_n \right\|^2 = \|T^{-1}\|^{-2} \sum_{k=1}^n |c_n|^2.$$

(ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii): Suppose (ii) holds. If  $c_n$ ,  $n = 1, \dots, k$  are arbitrary numbers we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^k c_n \langle f, f_n \rangle \right|^2 = \left| \left\langle f, \sum_{n=1}^k \overline{c_n} f_n \right\rangle \right|^2 \leq \|f\|^2 \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k \overline{c_n} f_n \right\|^2 \leq b \|f\|^2 \sum_{n=1}^k |c_n|^2.$$

If we now choose  $c_n = \overline{\langle f, f_n \rangle}$  and let  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then we get the missing claim.

For the converse we let  $f = \sum_{n=1}^k c_n f_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|^4 = |\langle f, f \rangle|^2 &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^k k \overline{c_n} \langle f, f_n \rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^k |c_n|^2 \sum_{n=1}^k |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2 \sum_{n=1}^k |c_n|^2. \end{aligned}$$

When we divide by  $\|f\|^2$  we get the desired result.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): The first inequality implies that for each  $m \geq 1$

$$\left\| \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq m}}^k c_n f_n - f_m \right\| \geq a > 0.$$

Thus  $f_m \notin \overline{\text{span}\{f_n \mid n \geq 1, n \neq m\}}$  and therefore there exists an element  $g_m \in H$  such that  $\langle f_n, g_m \rangle = 0$  if  $n \neq m$  and 1 if  $n = m$ .

If  $f = \sum_{n=1}^k c_n f_n$  we must therefore have  $c_n = \langle f, g_n \rangle$ . Thus we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, g_n \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{1}{a} \|f\|^2,$$

for  $f$  in a dense subset of  $H$ , and by continuity for all  $f \in H$ . In order to prove that  $\overline{\text{span}\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}} = H$  it suffices to recall that (iii) implies (ii) because then we can conclude that if for some  $f \in H$  we have  $\langle f, g_n \rangle = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$  then  $f = 0$ .

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be an arbitrary orthonormal basis for  $H$ . Furthermore, Let  $f = \sum_{n=1}^k c_n f_n$  and  $g = \sum_{n=1}^k d_n g_n$ . By the biorthogonality assumption we have  $c_n = \langle f, g_n \rangle$  and  $d_n = \langle g, f_n \rangle$ . If we now define

$$Sf = \sum_{n=1}^k c_n e_n,$$

$$Ug = \sum_{n=1}^k d_n e_n,$$

then we conclude that

$$\|Sf\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^k |c_n|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^k |\langle f, g_n \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

A similar inequality can be derived for  $U$  so that we conclude, since  $S$  and  $U$  are densely defined that they can be extended to bounded continuous operators on  $H$  with norms at most  $\sqrt{B}$ . The biorthogonality combined with the continuous extension implies that

$$\langle Sf, Ug \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle, \quad f, g \in H.$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\|f\|^2 = \langle f, g \rangle = \langle Sf, Ug \rangle \leq \|Sf\| \|Ug\| \leq \|Sf\| \sqrt{B} \|f\|.$$

Since the range of  $S$  is dense in  $H$  we conclude that  $S$  has a bounded inverse and the proof is completed.

(iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v): Assume first that (iv) holds. Since we know that (iv) is equivalent to (i) there is an operator  $T$  such that  $(T^{-1}f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is an orthonormal basis. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, TT^{-1}f_n \rangle|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle T^*f, T^{-1}f_n \rangle|^2 = \|T^*f\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{\|(T^*)^{-1}\|^2} \|f\|^2.$$

Since  $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  satisfies the same assumptions as  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  we get the second conclusion as well.

Suppose next that (v) holds. Then we have only to show that  $\overline{\text{span}\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}} = H$  and  $\overline{\text{span}\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}} = H$  and these claims follow directly because by (v)

there cannot be a nonzero vector orthogonal to all vectors  $f_n$  or to all vectors  $g_n$ .  $\square$

By dropping part of the requirements in some of the characterizations one gets so called Bessel sequences and Riesz-Fisher sequences. But it turns out to be very fruitful to formulate a new condition as well.

**Definition 21.** Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space. A sequence  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of elements in  $H$  is a frame if there are positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  (the bounds for the frame) such that

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2, \quad f \in H.$$

**Theorem 22.** If  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a frame then the formula

$$(61) \quad Tf = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_n \rangle f_n.$$

defines a bounded, selfadjoint, invertible, linear operator with  $\|T\| \leq B$  and  $\|T^{-1}\| \leq A^{-1}$ . Moreover, if  $f \in H$ , then

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n f_n \quad \text{where} \quad a_n = \langle T^{-1}f, f_n \rangle = \langle f, T^{-1}f_n \rangle, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and if  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n f_n$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |b_n|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n|^2 \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2.$$

**Proof.** First we have to show that  $T$  is well defined. Let

$$T_{k,m}f = \sum_{n=k}^m \langle f, f_n \rangle f_n.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{k,m}f\|^4 &= |\langle T_{k,m}f, T_{k,m}f \rangle|^2 = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_n \rangle \langle f_n, T_{k,m}f \rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n=k}^m |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \sum_{n=k}^m |\langle f_n, T_{k,m}f \rangle|^2 \leq B^2 \|f\|^2 \|T_{k,m}f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\|T_{k,m}f\| \leq B\|f\|,$$

and

$$\|T_{k,m}f\|^2 \leq B \sum_{n=k}^m |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2$$

From this we conclude that  $T_{1,m}f$  converges as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  to an element  $Tf$  where  $T$  is a linear operator satisfying

$$\|T\| \leq B.$$

Next we observe that

$$\langle Tf, f \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \geq A\|f\|^2.$$

From this we first conclude that  $\|Tf\| \geq A\|f\|$  which implies that the range of  $T$  is closed. If this range is not  $H$  there is a nonzero vector  $h \in H$  orthogonal to it, but this is impossible because  $\langle Th, h \rangle \geq A\|h\|^2 > 0$ .

Next we show that  $T$  is self-adjoint. Let  $f$  and  $g \in H$  be arbitrary. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Tf, g \rangle &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_n \rangle \langle f_n, g \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_n \rangle \overline{\langle f_n, g \rangle} \\ &= \left\langle f, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_n, g \rangle f_n \right\rangle = \langle f, Tg \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of  $T$  we have

$$f = T(T^{-1}f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle T^{-1}f, f_n \rangle f_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, T^{-1}f_n \rangle f_n.$$

□

**Theorem 23.** *Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space and let  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a frame in  $H$ . Let  $g_n = T^{-1}f_n$  where  $T$  is the operator  $Tf = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, f_n \rangle f_n$ . Then either  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Riesz basis for  $H$  (with  $\langle f_n, g_m \rangle = 0$  if  $n \neq m$  and  $1$  if  $n = m$ ) or there is a number  $k \geq 1$  such that  $(f_n)_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty}$  is a frame.*

**Proof.** If for all  $m$  and  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\langle f_n, g_m \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \neq m, \\ 1, & \text{if } n = m, \end{cases}$$

then  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Riesz basis by Theorem 20.(v).

Suppose that for some  $k \geq 1$  either  $\langle f_k, g_k \rangle \neq 1$  or  $\langle f_k, g_m \rangle \neq 0$  for some  $m \neq k$ . Since  $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a frame we have write

$$f_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, g_n \rangle f_n.$$



If now  $\langle f_k, g_k \rangle = 1$  then we have

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} \langle f_k, g_n \rangle f_n$$

On the other hand we have

$$0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 0 f_n,$$

and by Theorem 22 we must therefore have

$$\langle f_k, g_n \rangle = 0, \quad n \neq k.$$

Thus we may assume that  $a_k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle f_k, g_k \rangle \neq 1$ . Then we have

$$f_k = \frac{1}{1 - a_k} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} \langle f_k, g_n \rangle f_n,$$

and in particular

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f, f_k \rangle|^2 &= \frac{1}{|1 - a_k|^2} \left| \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} \overline{\langle f_k, g_n \rangle} \langle f, f_n \rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|1 - a_k|^2} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} |\langle f_k, g_n \rangle|^2 \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \leq C \|f\|^2,$$

where  $C = 1 + \frac{1}{|1 - a_k|^2} \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} |\langle f_k, g_n \rangle|^2$ . It follows that

$$\frac{A}{C} \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty} |\langle f, f_n \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2,$$

and we conclude that  $(f_n)_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq k}}^{\infty}$  is a frame. This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. A frame of wavelets or ridgelets

Let  $\alpha > 1$ , for example  $\alpha = 2$  and let  $Q_d = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]^d$ . Here we shall show that one gets a frame for the space  $L^2(Q_d)$  in the form  $\psi_{\mathbf{u}, \alpha^j, \beta k \alpha^j}$  where

$$\psi_{\mathbf{u}, a, b}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \psi\left(\frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x} - b}{a}\right).$$

Here  $A_j$  is a set of vectors approximately uniformly distributed on the unit sphere, such that the number of vectors in  $A_j$  is of the order  $\alpha^{-j(d-1)}$  when  $j \rightarrow -\infty$ . It is not difficult to show that one can get a similar frame for  $L^2(K)$  where  $K$  is any bounded measurable set.

**Theorem 24.** *Assume that  $d \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , and that  $\psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  is such that for some  $\delta > 0$*

$$(62) \quad \sup_{\omega \neq 0} \frac{|\hat{\psi}(\omega)|(1 + |\omega|^{\frac{d+3}{2} + 2\delta})}{|\omega|^{\frac{d-1}{2} + \delta}} < \infty,$$

and

$$(63) \quad \inf_{1 \leq \omega \leq \alpha} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_0} \left( |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j \omega)|^2 + |\hat{\psi}(-\alpha^j \omega)|^2 \right) > 0.$$

Let  $j_*$  be such that  $\alpha^{-j_*+1} d < \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(2^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2^{(\frac{1}{d}-\frac{1}{2})})$  and let  $j_1 = j_0 + j_*$ . Define the sets  $A_j$  as follows:  $A_j = \cup_{p=j}^{j_1} B_p$  where

$$(64) \quad B_j = \left\{ \frac{1}{|\mathbf{v}|} \mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \quad |\mathbf{v}|_\infty = \lceil \alpha^{-j+j_*} \rceil, \right. \\ \left. \min_{\mathbf{u} \in A_{j+1}} \left| \mathbf{u} - \frac{1}{|\mathbf{v}|} \mathbf{v} \right|_\infty \geq \frac{1}{d} \alpha^{j-j_1} \right\}.$$

If  $\beta$  is sufficiently small, then the functions

$$\{\psi_{\mathbf{u}, \alpha^j, \beta k \alpha^j}\}_{(j \leq j_0, \mathbf{u} \in A_j, k \in \mathbb{Z}),}$$

form a frame for  $L^2(Q_d)$ .

The set  $A_j$  as defined above is unnecessary large, and it is not difficult to construct much smaller sets  $A_j$  without losing the frame-property.

We have the following result, which is a multidimensional version of the so-called Kadec's  $\frac{1}{4}$ -Theorem.

**Theorem 25.** *Let  $d \geq 1$ . Suppose that for each  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  we have  $|\omega_{\mathbf{k}} - \mathbf{k}|_\infty \leq L$ . If  $L \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , then*

$$(65) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{f}(\omega_{\mathbf{k}})|^2 \leq (2 - \cos(\pi L) + \sin(\pi L))^{2d} \|f\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2, \quad f \in L^2(Q_d),$$

and if  $L < \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(2^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2^{(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{2})})$ , (so that is  $(2 - \cos(\pi L) + \sin(\pi L))^d < 2$ ) then

$$(66) \quad \left(2 - (2 - \cos(\pi L) + \sin(\pi L))^d\right)^2 \|f\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2 \leq \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{f}(\omega_{\mathbf{k}})|^2, \quad f \in L^2(Q_d).$$

In particular

$$(67) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \sup_{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{k}|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{2}} |\hat{f}(\mathbf{z})|^2 \leq 8^d \|f\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2, \quad f \in L^2(Q_d)$$

and when  $L < \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(2^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2^{(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{2})})$ ,

$$(68) \quad \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \inf_{|\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{k}|_{\infty} \leq L} |\hat{f}(\mathbf{z})|^2 \geq \left(2 - (2 - \cos(\pi L) + \sin(\pi L))^d\right)^2 \|f\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2$$

for every  $f \in L^2(Q_d)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 25.** Let

$$\lambda_d = (2 - \cos(\pi L) + \sin(\pi L))^d - 1.$$

If we can prove that

$$(69) \quad \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_{\mathbf{k}} (e^{i2\pi \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{s}} - e^{i2\pi \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{s}}) \right\|_{L^2(Q_d)} \leq \lambda_d \sqrt{\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{\mathbf{k}}|^2},$$

then it follows from Plancherel's theorem that if  $T(e^{i2\pi \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{s}}) = e^{i2\pi \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{s}}$ , then  $\|T\| \leq \lambda_d + 1$ . If furthermore  $\lambda_d < 1$ , then it follows from [8, Thm. 1.10], that the sequence  $(e^{i2\pi \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{s}})_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$  is a Riesz basis in  $L^2(Q)$  and  $\|T^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_d}$ . From these inequalities the first two claims follow, so it remains to prove (68).

We use induction and note that if  $d = 1$ , then the claim is Kadec's  $\frac{1}{4}$ -Theorem, see [8][Thm 1.14]. If  $d > 1$ ,  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , and  $\mathbf{s} \in Q_d$ , then we write  $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{m}, n)$  where  $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{s} = (\mathbf{t}, u)$  where  $\mathbf{t} \in Q_{d-1}$  and

$u \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ , and  $\omega_{\mathbf{k}} = (\mu_{\mathbf{m}}, \eta_n)$ . With this notation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(70) \quad & \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_{\mathbf{k}} (e^{i2\pi \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{s}} - e^{i2\pi \omega_{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \mathbf{s}}) \right\|_{L^2(Q_d)} \\
& \leq \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\mathbf{m}, n} e^{i2\pi \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{t}} (e^{i2\pi n u} - e^{i2\pi \eta_n u}) \right\|_{L^2(Q_d)} \\
& \quad + \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\mathbf{m}, n} (e^{i2\pi \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{t}} - e^{i2\pi \mu_{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \mathbf{t}}) e^{i2\pi n u} \right\|_{L^2(Q_d)} \\
& \quad + \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\mathbf{m}, n} (e^{i2\pi \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{t}} - e^{i2\pi \mu_{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \mathbf{t}}) (e^{i2\pi n u} - e^{i2\pi \eta_n u}) \right\|_{L^2(Q_d)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have, since we know the claim holds when  $d = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\mathbf{m}, n} e^{i2\pi \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{t}} (e^{i2\pi n u} - e^{i2\pi \eta_n u}) \right\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2 \\
& \leq \lambda_1^2 \int_{Q_{d-1}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} c_{\mathbf{m}, n} e^{i2\pi \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{t}} \right|^2 dt \\
& = \lambda_1^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{Q_{d-1}} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} c_{\mathbf{m}, n} e^{i2\pi \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{t}} \right|^2 dt \\
& = \lambda_1^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} |c_{\mathbf{m}, n}|^2 = \lambda_1^2 \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{\mathbf{k}}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way we get

$$\left\| \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\mathbf{m}, n} (e^{i2\pi \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{t}} - e^{i2\pi \mu_{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \mathbf{t}}) e^{i2\pi n u} \right\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2 \leq \lambda_{d-1}^2 \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{\mathbf{k}}|^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d-1}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\mathbf{m}, n} (e^{i2\pi \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{t}} - e^{i2\pi \mu_{\mathbf{m}} \cdot \mathbf{t}}) (e^{i2\pi n u} - e^{i2\pi \eta_n u}) \right\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2 \\
& \leq \lambda_1^2 \lambda_{d-1}^2 \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |c_{\mathbf{k}}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these inequalities with (69) we get our claim by an easy calculation.

□

**Proof of Theorem 24.** Let

$$\varphi_j(\underline{t}) = \alpha^{-\frac{j}{2}} \overline{\psi(-\alpha^{-j}\underline{t})}.$$

It follows that

$$(71) \quad \widehat{\varphi}_j(\underline{\omega}) = \alpha^{\frac{j}{2}} \overline{\widehat{\psi}(\underline{\omega})}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$\langle f, \psi_{\mathbf{u}, \alpha^j, \beta k \alpha^j} \rangle = (P_{\mathbf{u}} * \varphi_j)(k\beta\alpha^j).$$

We use the notation

$$F_j(\underline{t}) = |(P_{\mathbf{u}} * \varphi_j)(\underline{t})|^2.$$

Now if  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\tau > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| F_j(t) - \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t-\frac{\tau}{2}}^{t+\frac{\tau}{2}} F_j(s) \, ds \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t-\frac{\tau}{2}}^{t+\frac{\tau}{2}} \int_s^t F_j'(r) \, dr \, ds \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t-\frac{\tau}{2}}^t F_j'(r) \int_{t-\frac{\tau}{2}}^t ds \, dr - \frac{1}{\tau} \int_t^{t+\frac{\tau}{2}} F_j'(r) \int_t^{t+\frac{\tau}{2}} ds \, dr \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t-\frac{\tau}{2}}^{t+\frac{\tau}{2}} |F_j'(r)| \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose  $t = k\beta\alpha^j$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\tau = \beta\alpha^j$  so that

$$\left| F_j(k\beta\alpha^j) - \frac{1}{\beta\alpha^j} \int_{(k-\frac{1}{2})\beta\alpha^j}^{(k+\frac{1}{2})\beta\alpha^j} F_j(s) \, ds \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{(k-\frac{1}{2})\beta\alpha^j}^{(k+\frac{1}{2})\beta\alpha^j} |F_j'(s)| \, ds.$$

Summing over  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  gives

$$(72) \quad \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_j(k\beta\alpha^j) - \frac{1}{\beta\alpha^j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F_j(s) \, ds \right| \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| F_j(k\beta\alpha^j) - \frac{1}{\beta\alpha^j} \int_{(k-\frac{1}{2})\beta\alpha^j}^{(k+\frac{1}{2})\beta\alpha^j} F_j(s) \, ds \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F_j'(s)| \, ds.$$

By Plancherel's theorem, (54), and (70) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F_j(s) \, ds = \alpha^j \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 |\widehat{\psi}(\alpha^j \omega)|^2 \, d\omega.$$

Now clearly

$$|F_j'(s)| \leq 2|(P_{\mathbf{u}} f * \varphi_j)(s)| |(P_{\mathbf{u}} f * \varphi_j')(s)|,$$

so that we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F'_j(s)| \, ds &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(P_{\mathbf{u}}f * \varphi_j)(s)|^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(P_{\mathbf{u}}f * \varphi_j)(s)|^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= 2\pi\alpha^j \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u})|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u})|^2 |\omega|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we sum over  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $j$  with the result that

$$\begin{aligned} (73) \quad &\left| \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, \psi_{\mathbf{u}, \alpha^j, \beta k \alpha^j} \rangle|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u})|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, \psi_{\mathbf{u}, \alpha^j, \beta k \alpha^j} \rangle|^2 - \frac{1}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u})|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} 2\pi\alpha^j \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u})|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u})|^2 |\omega|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq 2\pi \left( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u})|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u})|^2 |\alpha^j\omega|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we have to show that there is a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$(74) \quad \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u})|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \leq c \|f\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2,$$

$$(75) \quad \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u})|^2 |\alpha^j\omega|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \leq c \|f\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2,$$

$$(76) \quad \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega\mathbf{u})|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j\omega)|^2 \, d\omega \geq \frac{1}{c} \|f\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2.$$

If this is the case, then it follows from (72) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{c\beta} - c\right) \|f\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2 &\leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, \psi_{\mathbf{u}, \alpha^j, \beta k \alpha^j} \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \left(2\pi c + \frac{c}{\beta}\right) \|f\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof since we may choose  $\beta < \frac{1}{c^2}$ .

Let  $\phi(\underline{\omega}) = |\hat{\psi}(\underline{\omega})|^2 + |\hat{\psi}(-\underline{\omega})|^2$ . Since each set  $A_j$  is symmetric with respect to the mapping  $\mathbf{u} \mapsto -\mathbf{u}$  we conclude that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j \omega)|^2 d\omega = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \int_0^\infty |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 \phi(\alpha^j \omega) d\omega.$$

By the definition of the sets  $A_j$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \int_0^\infty |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 \phi(\alpha^j \omega) d\omega \\ &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{p=j}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in B_p} \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \int_{\alpha^k}^{\alpha^{k+1}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 \phi(\alpha^j \omega) d\omega \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^\infty \sum_{p=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in B_p} \int_{\alpha^k}^{\alpha^{k+1}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 \sum_{j=-\infty}^p \phi(\alpha^j \omega) d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $L = d\alpha^{-j_*+1}$  so that  $L < \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(2^{\frac{1}{2}} - 2^{\frac{1}{d}-\frac{1}{2}})$  and choose  $k_0 = -j_*$  so that  $\alpha^{k_0} < L$ . Let  $c_3$  be a positive constant such that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_0} \phi(\alpha^j \omega) \geq c_3.$$

Since  $k_0 + j_1 = j_0$  we have

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j \omega)|^2 d\omega \geq \sum_{k=k_0}^\infty \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_{j_0-k}} c_3 \int_{\alpha^k}^{\alpha^{k+1}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 d\omega.$$

Now our construction of the sets  $A_j$  and our choice of  $k_0$  guarantees that there is a positive constant  $c_4$  so that for each  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  there exists a  $k \geq k_0$  and a vector  $\mathbf{u} \in A_{j_0-k}$  such that the measure of the set  $\{\omega \in [\alpha^k, \alpha^{k+1}] \mid$

$|\omega \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{k}|_\infty \leq L$  is at least  $c_4$ . It follows that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j \omega)|^2 d\omega \geq c_3 c_4 \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \inf_{|\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{k}|_\infty \leq L} |\hat{f}(\mathbf{z})|^2.$$

By (67) we get the desired lower bound (75).

In order to establish the upper bounds (73) and (74) we let  $\phi(\underline{\omega}) = |\omega| \left( |\hat{\psi}(\underline{\omega})|^2 + |\hat{\psi}(-\underline{\omega})|^2 \right)$  and proceed as above to get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in A_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 \left( |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j \omega)|^2 + |\alpha^j \omega|^2 |\hat{\psi}(\alpha^j \omega)|^2 \right) d\omega \\ = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in B_p} \int_{\alpha^k}^{\alpha^{k+1}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 \sum_{j=-\infty}^p \phi(\alpha^j \omega) d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from our assumptions in (62) on  $\psi$  that  $\sum_{j=-\infty}^p \phi(\alpha^j \omega) \leq c_5 < \infty$  for all  $\omega \geq 0$ . But we also have another constant  $c_6$  such that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^p \phi(\alpha^j \omega) \leq c_6 \alpha^{(k+p)(d-1+2\delta)}, \quad k+p \leq 0, \quad \omega \leq \alpha^{k+1}.$$

Since  $\sup_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d} |\hat{f}(\mathbf{z})|^2 \leq \|f\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2$ , we conclude that

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in B_p} \int_{\alpha^k}^{\alpha^{k+1}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 \sum_{j=-\infty}^p \phi(\alpha^j \omega) d\omega \leq c_7 \|f\|_{L^2(Q_d)}^2.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in B_p} \int_{\alpha^k}^{\alpha^{k+1}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 \\ \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=-k+1}^{j_1} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in B_p} \int_{\alpha^k}^{\alpha^{k+1}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 c_5 d\omega \\ + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{-k} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in B_p} \int_{\alpha^k}^{\alpha^{k+1}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 c_6 \alpha^{(k+p)(d-1+2\delta)} d\omega \\ = c_5 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in B_{-k+1}} \int_{\alpha^k}^{\alpha^{k+1}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 d\omega \\ + c_6 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=-\infty}^{-k} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in B_p} \int_{\alpha^k}^{\alpha^{k+1}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 \alpha^{(k+p)(d-1+2\delta)} d\omega. \end{aligned}$$



From the definition of the the sets  $A_j$  it follows that there is a constant  $c_8$  such that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in B_{-\alpha^{k+1}}} \int_{\alpha^k}^{\alpha^{k+1}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 d\omega \leq c_8 \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \alpha^k \leq |\mathbf{k}| < \alpha^{k+1}}} \sup_{|\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{k}|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}} |\hat{f}(\mathbf{z})|^2.$$

This takes care of the first term. Furthermore, we see that we can choose  $c_8$  so that we also have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{u} \in B_p} \int_{\alpha^k}^{\alpha^{k+1}} |\hat{f}(\omega \mathbf{u})|^2 d\omega \leq c_8 \alpha^{-(p+k)(d-1)} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \alpha^k \leq |\mathbf{k}| < \alpha^{k+1}}} \sup_{|\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{k}|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{2}} |\hat{f}(\mathbf{z})|^2.$$

Using this inequality we get the desired inequalities (73) and (74) and the proof is completed.  $\square$