

1. Why is it reasonable to call the function $\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \tau)$ a *ridge function*? Is this the case in dimension 1 as well?

Solution: If $\mathbf{x} = s\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$ where $\mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{w}$, then $\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \tau) = \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \tau)$, that is, this function is a constant on lines perpendicular to \mathbf{w} , and drawing the graph of the function $\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \tau)$ in the case where the dimension is 2 one gets a picture looking like a ridge, provided, of course, σ is increasing on $(-\infty, a)$ and decreasing on (a, ∞) for some a . In dimension 1 there are no directions perpendicular to \mathbf{w} (except 0), so one does not really get a ridge in this case.

2. Show that the polynomials (of one variable) of degree at most k are not dense in the spaces $\mathcal{C}([a, b])$ (continuous functions on $[a, b]$).

Solution: Suppose that this is not the case, so that the polynomials of degree at most k are dense in $\mathcal{C}([a, b])$. Let $x_j = a + j\frac{b-a}{k+1}$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, k+1$. Let $f(x_j) = (-1)^j$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, k+1$ and define $f(x)$ by piecewise linear interpolation at all other points of $[a, b]$. Then $f \in \mathcal{C}([a, b])$ and if the polynomials of degree at most k are dense in $\mathcal{C}([a, b])$ then there is a polynomial P_k so that $\sup_{x \in [a, b]} |P_k(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{2}$. But then $(-1)^j P_k(x_j) > 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, k+1$ and it follows from the intermediate value theorem that P_k has at least $k+1$ different zeros. But this is impossible and from this contradiction the claim follows.

3. Show that if $d \geq 1$ and σ is a polynomial, then $S_d(\sigma)$ is not dense in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ when $S_d(\sigma) = \text{span}\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \tau) \mid \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Solution: If σ is a polynomial of degree at most k , then the function $x \mapsto \sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot (x, 0, \dots, 0) - \tau)$ is also a polynomial of degree at most k . Thus we see that if $f \in S_d(\sigma)$, then the function $g(x) = f((x, 0, \dots, 0))$ is a polynomial of degree at most k and since these polynomials are not dense in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ it follows that $S_d(\sigma)$ cannot be dense in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

4. Show that

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \frac{\|f - g\|_{\mathcal{B}^\infty(B_j(0))}}{1 + \|f - g\|_{\mathcal{B}^\infty(B_j(0))}},$$

is a metric in the space $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where $B_j(0) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |\mathbf{x}| < j\}$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\infty(K)} = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in K} |f(\mathbf{x})|$.

Solution: It is clear that $0 \leq d(f, g) = d(g, f) < \infty$ for all f and $g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and that $d(f, g) = 0$ if and only if $f = g$. Thus it remains to prove the triangle inequality. If f, g , and $h \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $j \geq 1$, then we clearly have

$$\|f - g\|_{\mathcal{B}^\infty(B_j(0))} \leq \|f - h\|_{\mathcal{B}^\infty(B_j(0))} + \|h - g\|_{\mathcal{B}^\infty(B_j(0))}.$$

Thus it suffices to show that if $a \leq b + c$ where a , b and c are nonnegative numbers, then

$$\frac{a}{1+a} \leq \frac{b}{1+b} + \frac{c}{1+c}.$$

Since the function $t \mapsto \frac{t}{1+t}$ is increasing, we have have

$$\frac{a}{1+a} \leq \frac{b+c}{1+b+c},$$

and since a straightforward calculation shows that

$$\frac{b}{1+b} + \frac{c}{1+c} - \frac{b+c}{1+b+c} = \frac{(2+b+c)bc}{(1+a)(1+b)(1+b+c)} \geq 0,$$

the claim follows.
