Questionnaire – CPiP 2005 Summary

	a	b	c
Ratio between talks vs. group work. Should this be adjusted so that there are (a) more talks and less time with the groups, (b) ratio was fine, (c) less talks and more time in groups.	3	27	8
Scientific level of the talks. Should the level be (a) deeper (and potentially more difficult), (b) level was fine, or (c) more accessible talks.	3	17	13
Were there any particular talks that were (a) too difficult, (b) particularly interesting, or (c) trivial.	6	many	2
Ratio between talks of general interest, and talks focusing on specific issues. Should we have (a) more general talks, (b) mixture was fine, (c) more focused talks.	7	26	4
Time spent at work, and time allotted for social activities. Should there be (a) more free time, (b) the mix was fine, or (c) more time for work in the groups.	4	32	1
The conference site. It is (a) a good idea to be at a center, where we are free from distractions but have perhaps less computing resources, (b) it does not really matter, (c) we should have held the meeting on campus.	33	3	1
The ratio between physics and mathematics. (a) There should be more math, (b) the mix was fine, (c) there should be more physics.	8	24	3
Was the size of the groups and number of problems suitable? Tell your preference in words (for example: "I would have preferred more problems and smaller groups")	smaller groups: 12, less problems: 0	OK: 21	Larger groups: 1, more problems: 4
Did the workshop match your expectations? (a) Better than I expected, (b) It was OK, (c) I was disappointed.	15	18	3